INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

February 6, 2002

INFO 2002-0203
Release Date: 12/31/02

UIL: 3121.04-00

CONEX100873-02 CC:TEGE

Dear :
This letter is in response to your inquiry dated October 31, 2001, asking for our further thoughts on whether the IRS has the authority to issue guidance on issues concerning statutory employees. You asked us to consider the comments that the
and the gave to you in response to our initial letter dated July 25, 2001.
Upon reconsideration, we agree section 530(b) does not prohibit us from considering guidance in the form of regulations or revenue rulings dealing with the employment tax status of particular workers such as bakery distributors. [See House Report No. 95-1748, 95 th Cong., 2d sess]. However, we still disagree with the that General Counsel Memorandum (GCM) 39853 is incorrect.
We believe GCM 39853, which is consistent with the IRS position provided in Rev. Rul. 75-269, 1975-2 C.B. 401, correctly interprets the term "facilities" included in section 3121(d) of the Internal Revenue Code. The legislative history of section 3121(d) shows a clear Congressional concern that the term facilities should not be applied broadly. [See Senate Report No. 1669, 81 st Cong., 2d sess]. The broad interpretation of section 3121(d) would frustrate the Congressional intent to treat such workers as employees.
Although not persuaded to withdraw the GCM, I would be happy to meet with members of the

and the

Sincerely,

MARY OPPENHEIMER
Assistant Chief Counsel
(Exempt Organizations/Employment
Tax/Government Entities)
Office of the Division Counsel/
Associate Chief Counsel
(Tax Exempt and Government Entities)